

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	PAGE
STATEMENT OF CASE .....	2
ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR .....	6
ARGUMENT .....	7
No express contract with claimant existed .....	7, 8
The commandeering orders were mandatory .....	10
The United States ordered and took copper from claimant .....	14
Claimant was compelled to deliver its copper to the United States .....	21
No waiver of claimant's right to insist on fair and just compensation has been shown .....	28
The necessary cost of the copper to claimant was proved .....	32
Claimant is entitled to be paid the necessary cost of its copper so taken, as fair and just com- pensation therefor .....	34
CONCLUSION .....	38

	PAGE
<i>American Smelting &amp; Refining Co. v. United States</i> , 258 U. S. ....	9, 31
<i>Attorney-General v. De Keyser's Royal Hotel</i> , (1920) A. C. 508, 540, 556 .....	26, 31
<i>Beattie v. New York and Long Island Construction</i> Co., 196 N. Y. 346, 355 .....	33
<i>Critchfield v. Julia</i> , 147 Fed. Rep. 65, 71, 73 .....	37
<i>Dows v. Ekstrone</i> , 3 Fed. Rep. 19 .....	34
<i>Duesenberg Motors Corporation v. United States</i> , 259 U. S. ....	9
<i>Foot v. Marsh</i> , 51 N. Y. 288 .....	38
<i>Guerini Stone Co. v. Carlin</i> , 240 U. S. 264, 280 .....	35
<i>Hetzel v. Baltimore &amp; Ohio Railroad Co.</i> , 169 U. S. 26, 37 .....	37
<i>Hinckley v. Pittsburgh Bessemer Steel Co.</i> , 121 U. S. 264, 274 .....	35
<i>Hurff v. Hires</i> , 11 Vroom (N. J.) 581 .....	38
<i>Jennings-Heywood Oil Syndicate v. The Houssiere- Latreille Oil Co.</i> , 127 La. 971, 998 .....	34
<i>Johnson &amp; Higgins v. Harper Transp. Co.</i> , 228 Fed. Rep. 730, 743 .....	37
<i>Kimberly v. Patchin</i> , 19 N. Y. 330 .....	38
<i>King v. Gallun</i> , 109 U. S. 99 .....	32
<i>Langford v. United States</i> , 101 U. S. 341 .....	9
<i>Masterton v. Mayor of Brooklyn</i> , 7 Hill (N. Y.) 61 ..	35

## TABLE OF CASES.

iii

## PAGE

<i>Mawhinney v. Milbrook Woolen Mills, Inc.</i> , 234 N. Y. 244, 250 .....	12
<i>Nelson v. Belmont</i> , 21 N. Y. 36, 38, 39 .....	34
<i>Newcastle Breweries Lim. v. Regem</i> , (1920) 1 K. B. 392 .....	35
<i>Oswego Falls Co. v. Stecher Lith. Co.</i> , 215 N. Y. 98, 105 .....	35
<i>Phillips v. Detroit</i> , 111 U. S. 604, 606 .....	32
<i>Roxford Knitting Co. v. Moore &amp; Tierney</i> , 265 Fed. Rep. 177, 190 .....	12, 26, 31
<i>State v. Bodden</i> , 165 Wis. 75 .....	32
<i>Tempel v. United States</i> , 248 U. S. 121, 129 .....	9
<i>The Idaho</i> , 93 U. S. 575, 585 .....	34
<i>United States v. Behan</i> , 110 U. S. 338, 344 .....	35
<i>United States v. Great Falls Manufacturing Com- pany</i> , 112 U. S. 645, 658 .....	9
<i>United States v. Lynah</i> , 188 U. S. 445, 462 .....	9
<i>United States v. North American Co.</i> , 253 U. S. 330 .....	7, 9
<i>United States v. Raine-Andrews Lumber Co.</i> , 262 Fed. Rep. 787, 801 .....	13
<i>United States v. Speed</i> , 8 Wall. 77, 85 .....	35
<i>United States Trust Co. v. O'Brien</i> , 143 N. Y. 284, 288 .....	37
<i>Wilcox v. Consolidated Gas Co.</i> , 212 U. S. 19, 42.....	35